

# REGENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

3115 Edenborn Avenue

Metairie, Louisiana 70002

(504) 888-3596



March 12, 1996

RECEIVED

MAR 18 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Telecommunications Services \* Inside Wiring, Customer Premises Equipment,  
CS Docket No. 95184

Dear Mr. Caton:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

We write in response to the FCC's Notice of proposed Rulemaking released on January 26, 1996, regarding telephone and cable wiring inside buildings. We enclose four (4) copies of this letter, in addition to this original. We are concerned that any action by the FCC regarding access to private property by large numbers of communications companies may inadvertently and unnecessarily adversely affect the conduct of our business and needlessly raise additional legal issues. The Commission's public notice also raises a number of other issues that concern us. We urge the FCC to consider carefully any action it may take.


## Background

Regent Management, Inc. is in the residential real estate business. We presently manage approximately 1,000 units which consist of 6 multi-family apartment complexes ranging from 52 units to 253 units. We consider ourselves in the small business category.

## Issues Raised by the FCC's Notice

1. Access to Private Property. We are committed to making sure that efficient telephone and cable service is provided to our residents at a reasonable cost. Government intervention is neither necessary nor desirable to ensure the availability of service and we believe that such intervention could have the unintended effect of interfering with our ability to effectively manage our properties, control the limited space available in most of our properties and ensure the safety and security of our residents.
2. Demarcation Point. Depending on the type of property, the demarcation point should be outside the building or outside the premises of each resident.
3. Connections and Regulation of Wiring. There are already standards and building codes in place; the FCC does not need to impose new standards.
4. Customer Access to Wiring. This should be governed by state property law. We must retain the right to control activities on our property if need be.

Sincerely,

  
M. A. Turegano, President

No. of Copies rec'd 025  
List ABCDE

# REGENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

3115 Edenborn Avenue

Metairie, Louisiana 70002

(504) 888-3596



March 12, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Telecommunications Services \* Inside Wiring, Customer Premises Equipment,  
CS Docket No. 95184

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write in response to the FCC's Notice of proposed Rulemaking released on January 26, 1996, regarding telephone and cable wiring inside buildings. We enclose four (4) copies of this letter, in addition to this original. We are concerned that any action by the FCC regarding access to private property by large numbers of communications companies may inadvertently and unnecessarily adversely affect the conduct of our business and needlessly raise additional legal issues. The Commission's public notice also raises a number of other issues that concern us. We urge the FCC to consider carefully any action it may take.

## Background

Regent Management, Inc. is in the residential real estate business. We presently manage approximately 1,000 units which consist of 6 multi-family apartment complexes ranging from 52 units to 253 units. We consider ourselves in the small business category.

## Issues Raised by the FCC's Notice

1. Access to Private Property. We are committed to making sure that efficient telephone and cable service is provided to our residents at a reasonable cost. Government intervention is neither necessary nor desirable to ensure the availability of service and we believe that such intervention could have the unintended effect of interfering with our ability to effectively manage our properties, control the limited space available in most of our properties and ensure the safety and security of our residents.
2. Demarcation Point. Depending on the type of property, the demarcation point should be outside the building or outside the premises of each resident.
3. Connections and Regulation of Wiring. There are already standards and building codes in place; the FCC does not need to impose new standards.
4. Customer Access to Wiring. This should be governed by state property law. We must retain the right to control activities on our property if need be.

Sincerely,

  
M. A. Turigano, President

# REGENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

3115 Edenborn Avenue

Metairie, Louisiana 70002

(504) 888-3596



March 12, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Telecommunications Services \* Inside Wiring, Customer Premises Equipment,  
CS Docket No. 95184

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write in response to the FCC's Notice of proposed Rulemaking released on January 26, 1996, regarding telephone and cable wiring inside buildings. We enclose four (4) copies of this letter, in addition to this original. We are concerned that any action by the FCC regarding access to private property by large numbers of communications companies may inadvertently and unnecessarily adversely affect the conduct of our business and needlessly raise additional legal issues. The Commission's public notice also raises a number of other issues that concern us. We urge the FCC to consider carefully any action it may take.

## Background

Regent Management, Inc. is in the residential real estate business. We presently manage approximately 1,000 units which consist of 6 multi-family apartment complexes ranging from 52 units to 253 units. We consider ourselves in the small business category.

## Issues Raised by the FCC's Notice

1. Access to Private Property. We are committed to making sure that efficient telephone and cable service is provided to our residents at a reasonable cost. Government intervention is neither necessary nor desirable to ensure the availability of service and we believe that such intervention could have the unintended effect of interfering with our ability to effectively manage our properties, control the limited space available in most of our properties and ensure the safety and security of our residents.
2. Demarcation Point. Depending on the type of property, the demarcation point should be outside the building or outside the premises of each resident.
3. Connections and Regulation of Wiring. There are already standards and building codes in place; the FCC does not need to impose new standards.
4. Customer Access to Wiring. This should be governed by state property law. We must retain the right to control activities on our property if need be.

Sincerely,

  
M. A. Turegano, President

# REGENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

3115 Edenborn Avenue

Metairie, Louisiana 70002

(504) 888-3596



March 12, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Telecommunications Services \* Inside Wiring, Customer Premises Equipment,  
CS Docket No. 95184

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write in response to the FCC's Notice of proposed Rulemaking released on January 26, 1996, regarding telephone and cable wiring inside buildings. We enclose four (4) copies of this letter, in addition to this original. We are concerned that any action by the FCC regarding access to private property by large numbers of communications companies may inadvertently and unnecessarily adversely affect the conduct of our business and needlessly raise additional legal issues. The Commission's public notice also raises a number of other issues that concern us. We urge the FCC to consider carefully any action it may take.

## Background

Regent Management, Inc. is in the residential real estate business. We presently manage approximately 1,000 units which consist of 6 multi-family apartment complexes ranging from 52 units to 253 units. We consider ourselves in the small business category.

## Issues Raised by the FCC's Notice

1. Access to Private Property. We are committed to making sure that efficient telephone and cable service is provided to our residents at a reasonable cost. Government intervention is neither necessary nor desirable to ensure the availability of service and we believe that such intervention could have the unintended effect of interfering with our ability to effectively manage our properties, control the limited space available in most of our properties and ensure the safety and security of our residents.
2. Demarcation Point. Depending on the type of property, the demarcation point should be outside the building or outside the premises of each resident.
3. Connections and Regulation of Wiring. There are already standards and building codes in place; the FCC does not need to impose new standards.
4. Customer Access to Wiring. This should be governed by state property law. We must retain the right to control activities on our property if need be.

Sincerely,

  
M. A. Turegano, President

# REGENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

3115 Edenborn Avenue

Metairie, Louisiana 70002

(504) 888-3596



March 12, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Telecommunications Services \* Inside Wiring, Customer Premises Equipment,  
CS Docket No. 95184

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write in response to the FCC's Notice of proposed Rulemaking released on January 26, 1996, regarding telephone and cable wiring inside buildings. We enclose four (4) copies of this letter, in addition to this original. We are concerned that any action by the FCC regarding access to private property by large numbers of communications companies may inadvertently and unnecessarily adversely affect the conduct of our business and needlessly raise additional legal issues. The Commission's public notice also raises a number of other issues that concern us. We urge the FCC to consider carefully any action it may take.

## Background

Regent Management, Inc. is in the residential real estate business. We presently manage approximately 1,000 units which consist of 6 multi-family apartment complexes ranging from 52 units to 253 units. We consider ourselves in the small business category.

## Issues Raised by the FCC's Notice

1. Access to Private Property. We are committed to making sure that efficient telephone and cable service is provided to our residents at a reasonable cost. Government intervention is neither necessary nor desirable to ensure the availability of service and we believe that such intervention could have the unintended effect of interfering with our ability to effectively manage our properties, control the limited space available in most of our properties and ensure the safety and security of our residents.
2. Demarcation Point. Depending on the type of property, the demarcation point should be outside the building or outside the premises of each resident.
3. Connections and Regulation of Wiring. There are already standards and building codes in place; the FCC does not need to impose new standards.
4. Customer Access to Wiring. This should be governed by state property law. We must retain the right to control activities on our property if need be.

Sincerely,

  
M. A. Turegano, President